Image





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/755,437	01/05/2001	Richard L. McCreen P	OSU1159-141	5136
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	590 02/20/2004	/O' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	EXAM	INER
\ /	IENT OF PIPER RU GAN SQUARE			RAMSEY E
18TH AND AR	RCH STREETS /	1)(K/)NO _ \$	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADEDPH	IA, PA 19103 <i>V</i>	ADDR-ESSTATRADE	1773	
l l		ADDIV 33 CHARLE	DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004	RECEIVED
	ζ.	rammer Notified		FEB 2 3 700
	\sim	ter who works		v coole# <u>Zy</u> ∂ j /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
E		
Office Action Summary	09/755,437	MCCREERY, RICHARD L.
0 1 2004 Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ramsey Zacharia	1773
The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ation appears on the cover sneet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statused in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or exte	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON till. by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 11 December 2003.	
•	o)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 44-46</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	_	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 44-46</u> is/are rejected	ed.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	ion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		•
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 January 20</u>	·	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority d	documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority of		Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies o		
application from the Internation		-
* See the attached detailed Office action		t received.
		9
Attachment(e)		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \prod Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	rO-948) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F	C	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 38 in Figure 13. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 8, 13, 14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamers et al. (U.S. Patent 5,908,692).

Art Unit: 1773

Hamers et al. teach an organic monolayer attached to a substrate by means of an addition reaction (column 2, lines 16-24). The reaction may be a 2+2 cycloaddition, i.e. a reaction that forms new π , or conjugated, bonds, with the resulting layer molecularly oriented (column 4, lines 11-29). The monolayer may comprise molecular units having of the same type having the same length (see Figure 2B) or two types of molecules having different lengths (see Figure 2A) that are in both cases oriented such that they are substantially parallel (column 6, lines 12-27). The coated substrate may be used in electronic circuits (column 10, lines 3-7). This reads on the limitations of claim 18 since an electronic circuit must comprise a source of electrical current at least some of which would be expected to pass through the monolayer.

5. Claims 8-11, 13, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weaver et al. (U.S. Patent 5,208,154).

Weaver et al. teach an electrode having a surface treated with an electrochemically active material that is then connected to an electrical source to allow charged particles to adsorb onto the treated surface (column 2, lines 24-35). The electrochemically active material extend out from the electrode in a substantially parallel manner (Figures 1 and 2). A preferred material for the electrode is conductive carbon (column 3, lines 57-59). Quinone, i.e. $O=C_6H_4=O$, is a suitable electrochemically active material (claim 1). Since all the bonds in quinone are conjugated, the bond through which it is attached to the surface must be conjugated.

Regarding claim 11, the term "pyrolyzed" is taken to be a process-related limitation indicating the manner in which the conductive carbon is formed. Since the determination of patentability for a product claim containing process limitations is based on the product itself and

Art Unit: 1773

not on the method of production, the conductive carbon electrode of Weaver et al. reads on the substrate of claim 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 / 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 7, 12, 15-17, 44, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hamers et al. (U.S. Patent 5,908,692).

Hamers et al. teach all the limitations of claims 1-3, 7, 12, 15-17, 44, and 45, as outlined above, except that Hamers et al. is silent as to the roughness of the silicon surface on which the monolayer is formed.

However Hamers et al. teach that the silicon used is Si(001) with a clean, well ordered surface (column 7, lines 21-25). A clean and well ordered surface of Si(001) would be expected to inherently have a roughness on the order of the Si-Si bond length, about 235 pm or 2.35 Å.

In the event that the surface does not inherently have such a roughness, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to ensure that the surface is as clean and well ordered as possible, since Hamers et al. teach that the surface should be clean and well ordered.

Art Unit: 1773

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 12, 15-17, and 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weaver et al. (U.S. Patent 5,208,154) in view of Wegner et al. (U.S. Patent 4,828,917).

Weaver et al. teach all the limitations of claims 1, 2, 4-7, 12, 15-17, and 44-46, as outlined above, except for requiring the substrate to have a roughness less than or equal to the average length of the electrochemically active material and less than 5 Å.

Wegner et al. disclose that when forming a monolayer on a substrate it is known make the substrate smooth to allow for the formation of a well defined layer (column 4, lines 35-38).

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make the electrode surface of Weaver et al. as smooth as possible to ensure that the layer of electrochemically active material applied to the surface is well defined.

Double Patenting

9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1773

Claims 1-18 and 44-46 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 7-11, 16, 22-30, and 56-58 of copending Application No. 10/376,865. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the inventions of instant claims 1-18 and 44-46 represent a genus of which the inventions described by claims 1, 7-11, 16, 22-30, and 56-58 of copending Application No. 10/376,865 are species. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The instant monolayer material is generic to monolayer construction of copending Application No. 10/376,865. Therefore, 1, 7-11, 16, 22-30, and 56-58 of copending Application No. 10/376,865 represent a species of instant claims 1-18 and 44-46.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramsey Zacharia whose telephone number is (571) 272-1518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached on (571) 272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 1773

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramsey Zacharia Primary Examiner

Tech Center 1700

Notice of References Cited	Application/Control No. 09/755,437	nt Under CHARD L.	
O \ ` ` ` ` Notice of References Cited	Examiner	Art Unit	
MAR 0 1 2005	Ramsey Zacharia	1773	Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

		6		U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	8
W 3 1	DAREN		Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-5,208,154	05-1993	Weaver et al.	435/176
	В	US-			
	С	US-			·
	D	US-			
	Е	US-			
	F	US-		·	
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	Ν					
	0	•				
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.